ERRATA

Through no fault of his own, there were some errors in Ben Hauck’s essay “Developing the Experience of Group Mind” in the last issue.

A number of quotation marks were omitted. Many of the omissions do not make for confusing reading, but one omission rendered one sentence nonsensical. A passage on p. 240 reads:

For this problem and for others Schelling stipulated there is no correct answer. Instead, there might be a correct answer. A correct answer is an answer that most people in the group circle. (The quotation marks around the word are important.)

The parenthetical statement refers to quotation marks that aren’t there. This leads to what appears to be a contraction and misrepresents Schelling’s ideas. The passage should have read:

For this problem and for others Schelling stipulated there is no correct answer. Instead, there might be a “correct” answer. A “correct” answer is an answer that most people in the group circle. (The quotation marks around the word are important.)

The paragraph immediately following the table on p. 237 was meant to immediately precede the table. Hence, “Let’s revisit the examples I gave earlier...” is the introduction to the table.

Our apologies to Ben for these glitches. The one bright spot is that it encouraged us to reread the article, which was even more rewarding the second time.